NM EQIP FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland - Roswell F.O.

New Mexico- (Roswell) FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet- Irrigated Cropland

Applicant					Date	
Farm No.	Tract No(s).		CMS Field No's.	all		
Tribal Land	Non-Tribal Land	Х	Preliminary		Final	X

1. Water Quantity - 60 Potential Points

Irrigation Ef	ficiency - Use FIRS to eva				
actual % efficiency times any multiplier. Total equals after minus benchmark pts.			Potential	Benchmark	After
%	% of Area in Contract	% of Area in Contract	Points	Points	Points
Efficiency	before Treatment	After Treatment			
			60	0	0
			60	0	0
			60	0	0
			60	0	0
			60	0	0
			60	0	0
			60	0	0
			60	0	0
		1. Water Quantity	Total	0	0

2. Water Quality - 50 Potential Points

A. Surface Water Pollutants - 20 Maximum Points

There is a probability that runoff water from irrigated fields contains sediment, salt, pesticides, and/or nutrients (or other associated chemicals). Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from entering live waters, or re-entering a shared irrigation system. Points will be awarded based on distance from the end of the field to the nearest live waters or re-entry point into a shared system. If there is no run-off, after points will be 0.

	Distance of Surface Run-Off to Live Water	Potential	Benchmark	After
Distance of Surface Run-On to live water	Points	Points	Points	
<100 Feet		25	0	
101 - 500 Ft.		20	0	
501 - 1,320 Ft.		10	0	
1,321 - 2,640 Ft.		5	0	
>2,640 Feet		0	0	
	A. Surface Water	Total		0

B. Ground Water Pollutants - 20 Maximum Points

There is a probability that irrigation water containing salt, pesticides, and/or other nutrients (or other associated chemicals) is leaching into the ground water. Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from contaminating ground water, through leaching and/or direct flow into wells. Points will be awarded based on depth to the water table or elimination of any direct discharge to ground water (regardless of depth to the water table).

Depth to Water Table		Benchmark	After
Deptil to Water Table	Points	Points	Points
1 - 10 Ft or elimination of any direct discharge into ground water.	25	0	
10 - 50 Ft.	15	0	
50 -100 Ft.	5	0	
>100 Ft.	0	0	
B. Ground Water			0
2. Water Quality	Total		0

NM EQIP FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland - Roswell F.O.

3. Selected Conservation Practice(s) - <u>80</u> Potential Points

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation schedule of operations must be cost-shared or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.	Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
Soil Erosion			
(Irrigation Induced)			
Irrigation system (LESA, LEPA)-442	20		0
Irrigation system (Drip) -441	20		0
Irrigation land leveling -464	5		0
Irrigation pipeline -430	10		0
Water Quality			
(Excessive Nutrients & Organics in Surface Water)			
Chemigation valve	10		0
Water Quantity			
(Inefficient Water Use on Irrigated Land)			
Irrigation land leveling -464			0
Irrigation pipeline -430			0
Irrigation system (LESA, LEPA) -442			0
Irrigation system (Drip) -441	20		0
3. Selected Conservation Practices	Total		0

4. Other Considerations - <u>30</u> Potential Points

Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based		Benchmark	After
on LWG advice, please include it as item E.	Points	Points	Points
A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced. (List the species impacted)	10	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	5	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active/planned section 319 proje	10	0	
D. The land is within a NMED designated Catergory I watershed.	5	0	
4. Other Considerations	Total		0

Total Points (After minus Benchmark): Section 1 0 Section 2	0	Section 3	0	Section 4	0
Total Points for Worksheet: A minimum of total pts.is required to considered for contract selection	b be	Max Points Earned Points Percentage	220 0 0.00%		
Designated Conservationist	Date				